Widening role of multidisciplinary treatment for rectal cancer: toward diversity of cancer care
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Advances in treatment modalities, including systemic therapy, local therapy, and technical development, have substantially improved survival rates in patients with colorectal cancer [1]. In addition, the rate of colorectal cancer diagnosis before 50 years of age has been increasing over the past two decades [2]. The number of cancer survivors who suffer from chronic morbidities that impair their quality of life has also increased and will continue growing. Therefore, precision medicine in the era of rectal cancer management aims to provide curative-intent treatment based on tumor characteristics and preserve the quality of life, especially bowel function with sphincter preservation or rectum sparing. Functional derangement was considerably significant after radical resection of rectal cancer. Abdominoperineal resection results in a colossal lifestyle change, and surgeons have attempted to preserve the sphincter. However, several patients experience bowel dysfunction even after sphincter preservation, which constitutes a series of symptoms. Although researchers have suggested various methods for evaluating and managing bowel dysfunction after rectal cancer surgery, treatment strategies need to be established.

Therefore, endoscopic removal or local excision has been widely accepted for early rectal cancer treatment, as oncologic outcomes are comparable to those after radical resection. Even in patients with advanced rectal cancer, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy resulted in complete tumor regression in a subset of patients, which led us to consider deferral surgery. Watch-and-wait (WW) strategies have continuously gained approval in the Asia-Pacific region and Western countries [3]. Proper patient selection is critical for the organ-preserving strategies mentioned above.

In this issue of Precision and Future Medicine, three review articles have been published on rectal cancer management, in which interest has increased. Park and Baik [4] reviewed functional assessment methods and various treatments for bowel dysfunction. A series of symptoms associated with postoperative bowel dysfunction was typically called "low anterior resection syndrome (LARS).” Although different scoring systems have been proposed, each affords advantages and drawbacks. The LARS score questionnaire is a widely used tool. Young age, low anastomosis level, anastomotic leakage, and radiotherapy were related to the occurrence of LARS. Medical management, pelvic floor rehabilitation, and sacral nerve stimulation have been suggested for treating LARS. The authors also documented urinary and sexual dysfunctions, as reported in 20% to 40% of patients who undergo rectal cancer surgery. The authors concluded that surgeons should carefully perform sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery to ensure functional recovery of the remnant bowel and improve urologic and sexual...
dysfunction.

In a review article by Son et al. [5], the authors reviewed the treatment of early rectal cancer, focusing on non-radical resection. Local excision, endoscopic resection, and neoadjuvant therapy have evolved to treat early rectal cancer and achieve organ preservation. Accurate preoperative diagnosis is critical for selecting an appropriate treatment strategy to obtain the best oncological outcome. Magnifying chromendooscopy, narrowband imaging, and endoscopic ultrasonography would help discriminate deep submucosal invading lesions and successful endoscopic removal. Apart from the depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis is essential for prognosis, and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging can be used to evaluate lymph node metastasis, although its accuracy was shown to be heterogeneous. Pathological risk factors for resected specimens with endoscopic removal and local excision were crucial for determining further radical treatment. High-risk early rectal cancer is a potential candidate for radical resection. The lymph node metastasis rate was <20%. However, some patients need to consider surgery-related mortality, complications, and deterioration in the quality of life-related following radical resection. Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy may be considered in unsuitable patients or those who refuse radical resection if a high risk of lymph node metastasis is identified after local excision or endoscopic removal. In a clinical trial setting, the goal of neoadjuvant treatment for rectal cancer is to preserve organs.

The final review article by Park [6] is on WW strategies for patients with rectal cancer who underwent radical resection. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy with total neoadjuvant therapy resulted in clinical complete or near-complete regression of the primary tumor (good responder) in 15% to 50% of patients. WW provides the benefits such as avoiding surgical complications and preserving the rectum. However, the current method for evaluating clinical response has limited accuracy, and the evaluation time remains unclear. Although numerous studies have reported comparable oncologic outcomes between WW and radical resection in good responders, evidence regarding oncologic outcomes remains insufficient. Several trials are underway to increase the number of patients with an excellent clinical response using increased radiation dose, the addition of chemotherapy, prolonged waiting time, and intensified chemotherapy. WW will play a crucial role in managing rectal cancer in good responders; therefore, we need to be flexible when accepting WW in clinical practice. Meanwhile, we need to remain cautious of WW, as it may deprive some patients of curative-intent treatment.

From these three review articles, readers will be able to learn more regarding current treatment trends for rectal cancer, aimed at obtaining good oncologic outcomes and maintaining the quality of life at the same time. It is also vital to understand the limitations of less extensive treatment and apply multimodal therapy in rectal cancer management to improve the quality of life of patients who undergo standard radical resection. Paradigm shifts are ongoing in the treatment of rectal cancer.
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